

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03573 272116Z

70

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ADP-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00

NSC-10 PA-03 RSC-01 PRS-01 SS-15 USIA-15 NEA-10

TRSE-00 MBFR-03 SAJ-01 IO-13 OIC-04 AEC-11 ACDA-19

OMB-01 OC-06 OTPE-00 FCC-03 COME-00 EB-11 ABF-01

RSR-01 /178 W

----- 076156

R 271830Z JUL 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 987

SECDEF WASHDC

INFO AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 3573

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: COMMON FACILITIES; ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP DISCUSSION
JULY 24

SUMMARY: MBFR ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP AGREED TO SEND INTERNATIONAL STAFF REP TO VIENNA TO LOCATE NEW PREMISES FOR NATO DELEGATIONS. GROUPS ALSO AGREED AD REFERENDUM ON CERTAIN ADMINISTRATIVE ARRANGEMENTS AS OUTLINED BELOW. END SUMMARY.

1. GIVEN UNAVAILABILITY OF SCHOTTERING BUILDING GROUP ACCEPTED BELGIAN SUGGESTION TO SEND IS REP (DE BURLET) TO VIENNA TO EXPLORE OTHER POSSIBILITIES. DE BURLET IS BELGIAN NATIONAL, HAS APPARENTLY HAD SOME EXPERIENCE IN REAL ESTATE BUSINESS AND WILL WORK CLOSELY WITH BELGIAN EMBASSY VIENNA. ITALIAN REP SUGGESTED THAT IF NO SUITABLE PREMISES WERE FOUND, NATO DELS MIGHT ROTATE BETWEEN THOSE NATO EMBASSIES WITH SUITABLE CONFERENCE FACILITIES. THIS SUGGESTION WAS GENERALLY REGARDED AS LEAST ADVANTAGEOUS ALTERNATIVE, AMONG OTHER REASONS BECAUSE IT WOULD MAKE NO CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 03573 272116Z

PROVISION FOR INTERNATIONAL PERSONNEL OR SECURE STORAGE FOR THEIR DOCUMENTS. MOST AGREED THA DE BURLET SHOULD NOT HOLD OUT TOO LONG FOR THE IDEAL BUILDING, BUT THAT IF HE COULD FIND ONE WITH SUFFICIENT SPACE FOR INTERNATIONAL REPS PLUS A CONFERENCE

ROOM OF ADEQUATE SIZE, THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE AS AN INTERMEDIATE SOLUTION.

2. GROUP AGREED IT SHOULD ORDER FURNITURE AND FURNISHINGS THROUGH BELGIAN EMBASSY ON REIMBURSABLE BASIS FOR THOSE DELEGATIONS SO DESIRING.

3. GROUP WAS UNABLE TO AGREE ON NUMBER OF PERSONNEL REQUIRED FOR NATO MILITARY REPRESENTATION. SHAPE HAS REQUESTED 2 OFFICERS, 2 NCO'S AND DRIVER; MILITARY COMMITTEE HAS REQUESTED 3 OFFICERS, SECRETARY, ONE NCO AND ONE LOCAL DRIVER. QUESTION LEFT OPEN. FUNDING WILL FOLLOW USUAL NATO PRACTICE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL (SUBSISTENCE WILL BE PAID NATIONALLY, TRAVEL BY NATO).

4. GROUP AGREED THAT NUMBER OF BUILDING PERSONNEL WOULD DEPEND ON FINAL CHOICE OF PREMISES BUT, ON BASIS OF RESTRICTED STAFFING, THE FOLLOWING WOULD BE APPROPRIATE: ONE BUILDING ADMINISTRATOR (A-GRADE CIVILIAN), ONE PRINCIPAL ASSISTANT (B-4/5), ONE SECRETARY (B-3), ONE SWITCHBOARD OPERATOR (B-2), ONE MAINTENANCE MAN (C-3/4) AND 14 SECURITY GUARDS (ON BASIS OF 24-HOUR COVERAGE). BUILDING PERSONNEL WILL BE SUPPLIED FROM STAFF ALREADY ON DUTY AT NATO HQ (WHO MAY HAVE TO BE REPLACED HERE). DOCUMENT REGISTRY TO BE STAFFED BY ONE HEAD OF REGISTRY (B-5), ONE CHIEF CLERK (B-3) AND ONE MESSENGER (C-3). REPRODUCTION SECTION TO BE STAFFED BY TWO OPERATORS (C-3).

5. SECRETARY GENERAL'S REP WOULD BE A-GRADE OFFICER AS WOULD SECRETARY OF AD HOC GROUP.

6. GROUP GENERALLY BELIEVED THAT A COMMON TELECOMMUNICATIONS FACILITY, LINKED TO NATO-WIDE SYSTEM, WOULD BE JUSTIFIED. NATIONAL FACILITIES GENERALLY REGARDED AS TOO SLOW GIVEN NEED FOR DOUBLE ENCRYPTION AND FACT THAT PRIORITY USUALLY GIVEN TO NATIONAL TRAFFIC. COMMON FACILITY TO BE USED BY INTERNATIONAL REPS REPORTING TO THEIR PRINCIPALS, INSTRUCTIONS FROM SYG, SACEUR AND CHAIRMAN MC TO THEIR REPS, URGENT ADMINISTRATIVE COMMUNICATIONS TO NATO HQ AND SHAPE, AD HOC GROUP REPORTS CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 NATO 03573 272116Z

AND, ON LIMITED BASIS, NATIONAL DELEGATIONS. SYSTEM WOULD CONSIST INITIALLY OF TELEX CONNECTION TO ENSURE DIRECT COMMUNICATION BETWEEN VIENNA AND NATO HQ. IF JUSTIFIED BY VOLUME OF TRAFFIC, LATER CONSIDERATION MIGHT BE GIVEN TO RENTAL OF A DUPLEX CIRCUIT WHICH WOULD BE TIED IN WITH THE NATO-WIDE SYSTEM. SYSTEM WOULD BE MANNED ON A ONE-SHIFT BASIS, PROBABLY REQUIRING TWO COMMUNICATORS. IS IS NOW STUDYING TECHNICAL ASPECTS THIS PROBLEM. UK POSITION STILL RESERVED ON COMMUNICATIONS, HOWEVER.

7. IN ABSENCE OF INSTRUCTIONS, GROUP COULD NOT CONDUCT MORE THAN PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION OF BUDGETARY PROBLEMS. IT WAS AGREED, HOWEVER, THAT AS MBFR IS NOT A 15 NATION OPERATION, ALL COMMON EXPENDITURE SHOULD, FOR REASONS OF ADMINISTRATIVE SIMPLICITY,

BE CHARGED TO A SEPARATE CHAPTER OF THE MILITARY BUDGET WHILE ALL OTHER EXPENSES SHOULD BE SHARED IN PROPORTION TO THE SURFACE OCCUPIED BY THE VARIOUS DELEGATIONS. BUDGET DISCUSSION WILL BE PURSUED IN GREATER DETAIL IN MID-AUGUST WHEN MBC CHAIRMAN RETURNS FROM LEAVE.

8. WE WILL NEED GUIDANCE ON THE FOREGOING FOR EVENTUAL SPC DISCUSSION AND WHEN ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP RE-CONVENES WITH BUDGET EXPERTS PRESENT WE WILL NEED GUIDANCE ON COST-SHARING FORMULA.
RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 27 JUL 1973
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO03573
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730767/abqcebiw.tel
Line Count: 121
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 14 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <14-Aug-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <20-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: COMMON FACILITIES; ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP DISCUSSION JULY 24
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005